Bayesian Networks

Leonid Zhukov

School of Applied Mathematics and Information Science National Research University Higher School of Economics

28.11.2013



- Joint probability P(A, B)
- Marginalization (sum rule): $P(A) = \sum_{B} P(A, B)$
- Conditioning (product rule): P(A, B) = P(B|A)P(A)
- Bayes Theorem

$$P(B|A) = \frac{P(A|B)P(B)}{P(A)} = \frac{P(A|B)P(B)}{\sum_{B} P(A|B)P(B)}$$

• Independence:

$$P(A,B) = P(A)P(B)$$

• Conditional independence:

$$P(A|B, C) = P(A|C)$$

$$P(B|A, C) = P(B|C)$$

$$P(A, B|C) = P(A|B, C)P(B|C) = P(A|C)P(B|C)$$

Notation

 $A \perp\!\!\!\perp B \mid C$

Basian Networks

- Directed graphical models
- Basian Networks are directed acyclic graphs, DAG
- Nodes random variables
- Edges dependencies between random variables (direct influence)



- Each node associated with conditional distribution P(X|parents)
- Parents nodes, sending arrows to X
- Root nodes associated with priors P(X)



- $P(x_1, x_2) = P(x_2|x_1)P(x_1)$
- $P(x_1, x_2, x_3) = P(x_3|x_1, x_2)P(x_2|x_1)P(x_1)$
- $P(x_1,...x_k) = P(x_k|x_1,...x_{k-1})..P(x_2|x_1)P(x_1)$
- Fully connected, link between every pair of nodes
- Missing edges means conditional independence
- Factorization properties of joint distribution

$$P(x_1..x_k) = \prod_{k=1}^{K} P(x_k | parents(x_k))$$

Directed graphical model



Factorization:

 $P(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) = P(x_1)P(x_2|x_1)P(x_3|x_1)P(x_4|x_2)P(x_5|x_3)P(x_6|x_2, x_5)$

Directed graphical model







p(a,b,c)=p(a|c)p(b|c)p(c)



p(a,b,c)=p(a)p(b)p(c|a,b)



p(a,b,c)=p(a)p(c|a)p(b|c)

19



Independence:

$$p(a,b) = \sum_{c} p(a,b,c) = \sum_{c} p(a)p(c|a)p(b|c) =$$
$$= p(a)\sum_{c} p(b|c)p(c|a) = p(a)p(b|a) \neq p(a)p(b)$$

not independent! $a \not\perp b$



Conditional independence:

$$p(a, b|c) = \frac{p(a, b, c)}{p(c)} = \frac{p(a)p(c|a)p(b|c)}{p(c)} = p(a|c)p(b|c)$$

Conditionally independend: $a \perp\!\!\!\perp b \mid c$



Independence:

$$p(a,b) = \sum_{c} p(a,b,c) = \sum_{c} p(a|c)p(b|c)p(c) \neq p(a)p(b)$$

not independent! $a \not\perp b$



Conditional independence:

$$p(a, b|c) = \frac{p(a, b, c)}{p(c)} = \frac{p(a|c)p(b|c)p(c)}{p(c)} = p(a|c)p(b|c)$$

Conditionally independend: $a \perp\!\!\!\perp$

Head-to-head node



Independence:

$$p(a,b) = \sum_{c} p(a,b,c) = \sum_{c} p(c|a,b)p(a)p(b) =$$
$$= p(a)p(b)\sum_{c} p(c|a,b) = p(a)p(b)$$

independent! $a \perp b$

Leonid Zhukov (HSE)



Conditional independence:

$$p(a, b|c) = \frac{p(a, b, c)}{p(c)} = \frac{p(c|a, b)p(a)p(b)}{p(c)} \neq p(a|c)p(b|c)$$

Conditionally not independend: $a \not\perp b \mid c$

Conditional independence



- Binary variables, fuel system on a car
- B battery, B=1 charged, B = 0 dead
- F fuel tank, F = 1 full F = 0 empty
- G electric gauge, G=1 shows full tank, G =0 shows empty tank
- Prior distribution P(B = 1) = 0.9, P(F = 1) = 0.9
- Gauge is unreliable! Conditional on gauge read "full"

$$P(G = 1|B = 1, F = 1) = 0.8$$

$$P(G = 1|B = 1, F = 0) = 0.2$$

$$P(G = 1|B = 0, F = 1) = 0.2$$

$$P(G = 1|B = 0, F = 0) = 0.1$$

Conditional independence



- Observe fuel gauge, reads "empty G = 0. Is tank really empty? P(F = 0|G = 0)-?
- Bayes

$$P(F = 0|G = 0) = \frac{P(G = 0|F = 0)P(F = 0)}{P(G = 0)}$$

• P(G = 0|F = 0) = P(G = 0|B = 0, F = 0)P(B = 0) + P(G = 0|B = 1, F = 0)P(B = 1) = 0.9 * 0.1 + 0.8 * 0.9 = 0.81• $P(G = 0) = \sum_{B \in 0,1} \sum_{F \in 0,1} P(G = 0|B, F)P(B)P(F) = 0.315$

• P(F = 0|G = 0) = 0.257 > P(F = 0) = 0.1

Conditional independence



• We also check (observe) battery, it is dead, B = 0

Bayes

$$P(F = 0|G = 0, B = 0) = \frac{P(G = 0|B = 0, F = 0)P(F = 0)P(B = 0)}{\sum_{F \in 0,1} P(G = 0|B = 0, F)P(F)P(B = 0)}$$

- P(F = 0 | G = 0, B = 0) = 0.111
- State of fuel tank and battery became dependent through the gauge observation

Blocked path

- An observed TT or HT node, or
- A HH node which is not observed, nor any of its descendants is observed



 A set of nodes A is said to be d-separated from a set of Types of Graphical Models 㯉nodes B by a set of nodes C if every path from A to B is blocked when C is in the conditioning set.



• Theorem: Factorization -> CI

If a probability distribution factorizes according to a directed acyclic graph, and if A, B and C are disjoint subsets of nodes such that A is d-separated from B by C in the graph, then the distribution satisfies $A \perp\!\!\!\perp B \mid C$.

• Theorem: CI -> Factorization

If a probability distribution satisfies the conditional independence statements implied by d-separation over a particular directed graph, then it also factorizes according to the graph. Has local, wants global

- CI statements are usually what is known by the expert
- The expert needs the model p(x) in order to compute things
- The Cl -> Factorization part gives p(x) from what is known (Cl statements)

- Network joint probability distribution of random variables
- Structure can be learned, often set up "by hand"using expert knowldege
- Probabilites can be estimated from data using MAP/MLE

- Evaluate probability of some set of variables given the values (observations) of another set.
- Exact inference of arbitrary Baysian network NP-hard
- Exact solutions for polytrees (no undirected cycles, exactly one undirected path between any two nodes)
- Approximate, numerical solutions, structure dependent

- Bayesian Networks without Tears. Eugene Charniak, Al magazine, vol 12, No4, 1991 pp 50-63
- A Tutorial on Learning With Bayesian Networks, David Heckerman, Technical Report MSR-TR-95-06, 2006.
- Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning, Chapter 6, Christopher Bishop, Springer 2006